WO

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA v.  Jesus Urbina-Marquez		OPD	ER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
-			<u>11-08929M-001</u>	
and was rep	ce with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § in sented by counsel. I conclude by a prejudent pending trial in this case.	onderance of the evidence t	was held on June 2, 2011. Defendant was present he defendant is a flight risk and order the detention	
I find by a p	reponderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT		
	The defendant is not a citizen of the	United States or lawfully ad	mitted for permanent residence.	
$\boxtimes$		The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.		
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.			
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.			
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.			
$\boxtimes$	The defendant has a prior criminal history.			
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.			
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.			
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.			
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.			
	The defendant is facing a maximum	of	years imprisonment.	
The at the time of	of the hearing in this matter, except as no	oted in the record.	services Agency which were reviewed by the Court	
1.	There is a serious risk that the defe	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW		
2.			the appearance of the defendant as required.	
<b>-</b> .		IONS REGARDING DETEN	•	
a correction appeal. The of the United	e defendant is committed to the custody of s facility separate, to the extent practicable defendant shall be afforded a reasonable	f the Attorney General or his e, from persons awaiting or s e opportunity for private cons ne Government, the person i	/her designated representative for confinement in erving sentences or being held in custody pending sultation with defense counsel. On order of a court n charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the	
	APPEAL	S AND THIRD PARTY REL	EASE	
IT IS deliver a cop Court.	S ORDERED that should an appeal of the by of the motion for review/reconsideration	s detention order be filed wit n to Pretrial Services at least	h the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to one day prior to the hearing set before the District	
Services su	S FURTHER ORDERED that if a release fficiently in advance of the hearing befor the potential third party custodian.	to a third partix is to be consider the District Court to allow	dered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and	
DATE: <u>Ju</u>	ine 2, 2011		JAY R. IRWIN United States Magistrate Judge	